Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,017	YU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1795
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jeffrey T. Barton</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Hao Tung</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2009</u>	Time: <u>12 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Therefore decomposed.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
$(A_{]}$	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Tung to request copies of references A56, A57, and A59 of the IDS filed on 2 March 2009, which had not been included with the IDS. Mr. Tung sent the requested documents by electronic mail. The Examiner indicated in reply that the documents would be entered into the file and considered. A copy of the electronic mail communication has been made of record.